This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office.
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,378	07/27/2001	Eugen Muller	2821-213	7619
75	90 07/19/2002			
Richard D. Getz			EXAMINER	
McCormick, Paulding & Huber LLP CityPlace II			TRAN, LEN	
185 Asylum Street Hartford, CT 06103-3402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
(; 			1725	
			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2002	:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$(\mathcal{L},\mathcal{D})$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	09/916,378	MULLER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Len Tran	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	July 2001 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Ti	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10 and 16-18</u> is/ar	re withdrawn from consideratio	n.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-15,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10 and 16-18, drawn to a method, classified in class 219, subclass 83.
 - II. Claims 11-15 and 19-20, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 219, subclass64.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the method can be done manually by an operator.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Richard Getz on July 8, 2002 to a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 11-15, and 19-20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-10 and 16-18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 11, the term "and if required" in line 1 is vague since it does not provide a positive limitation. In line 3, the term "that can be" does not provide a positive limitation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 11-13 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matteson (US 5,676,862) or Baumgartner (US 5,841,094) or Grau et al (US 5,391,853).

Art Unit: 1725

Matteson, Baumgartner, and Grau et al disclose a welding apparatus with welding electrode rollers with a welding current source, AC welding current source, and current source connected to the electrodes by a controllable switch arrangement. The switch arrangement connected to the control device provided to trigger by the position with respect to the welding electrode rollers of the forward or rear edge. The control device is configured to receive a signal indicating the position of the electrode to the article and releasing the welding current to the electrode rollers. The switch means includes a pivotally mounted arm for mounting at least one of the rollers (figure 2, col. 2, lines 28-31, col. 4, lines 35-67, col. 5, lines 1-50 of Matteson), (figure and col. 2, lines 66- col. 3, 1-64 of Grau et al), and (figures and col. 2, lines 60-col. 3, lines 1-19 of Grau et al).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matteson (US '862).

Matteson discloses the claimed invention above in paragraph 8, but fails to teach setting arrangement having a disk with plurality of regions of different thickness.

However, Matteson discloses the method of engagement of the workpiece by either mechanical or position sensor using levers.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a disk with different thickness to indicate the position of the rollers, since such device is functionally equivalent with the position sensor of Matteson.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (703)605-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3602 for regular communications and (703)305-3602 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1725

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT July 12, 2002

> M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER